


**CERTIFICATE OF HAND-DELIVERY**


I hereby certify that on the date indicated below this correspondence is being hand-delivered to the USPTO After-Hours Service Window at the Patent Office addressed to:

MAIL STOP: Amendment, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Signature of JEROME GLASSER:



Date: June 24, 2008



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Application of: Jerome Glasser / #40232

Confirmation No.: 4813

Titled: MULTI-ITEM HOLDER DEVICE

Art Unit: 3677

Application Serial No.: 10/715,669

Examiner: Robert J. Sandy

Filed: November 18, 2003

Docket No.: JGPAT012a03US

**DATED MARCH 24, 2008 NOTICE OF NON-RESPONSIVE AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER**

MAIL STOP: Amendment  
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In connection with the above-referenced application, enclosed herewith for filing are:


- 1) Certificate of Hand-Delivery;
- 2) Dated March 24, 2008 Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment Response (5 Pages);
- 3) Claims ("Appendix B") ( 6 Pages);
- 4) Applicant's Interview Summary (2 Pages);
- 5) Amended Specification ("Appendix C") (12 Pages);
- 6) Combined Petition for An Extension of Time to File Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) and  
Authorization to Charge The Appropriate Fee to USPTO Deposit Account (Submitted in Duplicate);

Date: June 24, 2008

Respectfully submitted,



Jerome Glasser, Applicant  
USPTO Customer No. 40232



### CERTIFICATE OF HAND-DELIVERY

I hereby certify that on the date indicated below this correspondence is being hand-delivered to the USPTO After-Hours Service Window at the Patent Office addressed to:

MAIL STOP: Amendment, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Signature of JEROME GLASSER: 

Date: June 24, 2008

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Title:	MULTI-ITEM HOLDER DEVICE	Confirmation No.:	4813
Applicant:	Jerome Glasser	Art Unit:	3677
Customer No.	40232	Examiner:	Robert J. Sandy
Application Serial No.:	10/715,669	Docket No.:	JGPAT012a03US
Filed:	November 18, 2003		

### MAY 6, 2008 INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicant wishes to acknowledge the courtesies which were extended to him by the Examiner during his recent personal interview. It is readily evident to Applicant that the Examiner went "above and beyond" the minimal requirements for dealing with applicants as imposed by the the USPTO. Applicant is very grateful to the Examiner for his efforts and attention.

Some of the topics discussed include:

1. The Examiner was shown a few working prototypes of the device of the disclosure. Also demonstrated was the use of the disclosure with regard to an illustration on cardstock paper cut into multiple sections which was re-assembled and held together through the use of the device, thereby demonstrating not only the viability of the device for holding items and doing so in an aligned manner, but also the great value of transparency in a device surface which, when utilized, affords the unobstructed view by the viewer of a re-assembled and held illustration;
2. The Examiner and Applicant agreed that the device of the disclosure has walls emanating from a "central hub";
3. The Examiner and Applicant agreed that magnets are disclosed on the "back" of an embodiment of the device for affixing onto a metallic surface;
4. The Examiner and Applicant agreed that one skilled in the art would understand "sticky" to mean glue in the embodiment and that a material covers the glue and is removed to reveal the glue when use is desired.

5. Applicant was kindly advised that referencing "no-tack" hole, which is in the "negative", does not as readily permit the assignment of a reference numeral as elements expressed in the "positive", such as a "solid guide wall".
6. As the Dated March 5, 2008 Supplemental Amendment was not entered by the Examiner, the Claims submitted in that Supplemental Amendment are, accordingly, considered as "Draft Claims". An amended version of those Draft Claims, is submitted herein.
7. Applicant was kindly advised that the Specification is to be amended, excising objectionable information.

Applicant believes that he and the Examiner were able to arrive at what Applicant hopes will be considered a "meeting of the minds" at the conclusion of the Interview. Applicant has made a significant and bona fide attempt to amend subsequent submissions to the USPTO based on the Examiner's guidance so that this Application will be in a condition for acceptance.

Date: June 24, 2008

Respectfully submitted,



Jerome Glasser, Applicant  
USPTO Customer No. 40232  
Telephone: (973) 763-6020